## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction of two lane with hard shoulder of Kohima-jessami Road on NH-29 (Old NH-150) from existing km 53.220 (near Kikruma Village) to existing km 76.320 (near Mesulumi Village) [ Design Km 51.5 to design Km 74.2] [Design Length - 22.7 Km] in the state of Nagaland Under Bharatmala Pariyojna on EPC Mode (Package III)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 05-03-2020

- 1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were physically received on scheduled bid due date as 14.02.2020 at 1100 hrs.
- **2.** Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) met to open the technical Bids on 17.02.2020 at 1100 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online.
  - (i) M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)
  - (ii) M/VKS-NIPL (JV)
  - (iii) M/s Varaha-OIA (JV)
  - (iv) M/s KKB-Shanti (JV)
- 3. The Evaluation Committee has considered the following Criteria for evaluation of the bids for the above project:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	243.04
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	364.56
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	218.74
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	72.91
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	60.76
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	24.30 °
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	24.30
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	12.15
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.29
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.43
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	48.61
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	29.16
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	9.72
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	243.04
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	145.82
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	48.61

Ajoun

M

figh

W V

- 4. The Evaluation Committee in its first ETEC during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, hence committee sought the clarification from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process vide its 1<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 24.02.2020.
- 5. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 24.02.2020, replies received from the bidders and the Evaluation report were deliberated by the ETEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 05.02.2020. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below..

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification sought	Reply from the bidder	Comments of the committee
1	M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located. Kindly inform the page number where the documents have been uploaded  2. The Statutory Auditor's certificate attached submitted by M/s VKS does not have UDIN number (net worth, Turnover and eligible projects) You are request to provide the certificate duly certified by Statutory Auditor with UDIN number.	Bidder has forwarded the requisite documents	Bidder has forwarded the requisite documents with UDIN and same has been accepted. Bidder is technically responsive.
2	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	In regards to the work of" Road and Drain (including Retaining Wall) improvement works in City Municipal Council, Raichur Limits, Raichur under Mukhya Mantri Nagarothana phase_2 project" the certificate from the respective Authority has not been found. It is requested to provide the same	With reference to the above, we herewith are submitting the certificate issued by Executive Engineer, District Urban Development cell, Office of Deputy commissioner, Raichur.	The Work of M/s RMN i.e.  "Rehabilitation and up gradation of NH-42 from Km 4/350 to Km 751600 (AP Border to Ananthapuram section to two lane with paved shoulders including 4 Laning in Ananthapuram town limits" is being considered for single work hence the bidder is technically responsive
3	M/s Varaha- OIA (JV)	Certificates for the eligible works attested by the authority could not be located. It is requested to submit the same.	We hereby submitting the certificate from respective authority for lead and other member as required. Som of projects are completed so we submitting completion certificate for same and some projects are ongoing so submitting letter of award for the same.	The requisite document has been submitted by the bidder which is acceptable. The bidder is technically responsive.
4.	M/s KKB- Shanti (JV )	Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located. Kindly inform the page number where the documents have been uploaded	Details of all ongoing works are tabulated in ongoing work schedule already uploaded. Here we are attaching Annexure IA showing details of works of NHIDCL in hand for further clarification.	The document has been submitted and same is accepted. The bidder is technically responsive.

6. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders as per the report are as Annexure –I.

AjayA M

. Who

2/3

The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after 7. deliberation, status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Varaha – OIA (JV)	Technically Responsive
4	M/s KKB-Shanti (JV )	Technically Responsive

The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) recommends opening the financial bid of the 4 technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

A.K Singh

(GM-Tech) Member

DGM -Fin.

Member

## Annexure - I

Summary of Technical Evaluation									
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 364.56 Cr.	Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii) = Rs. 60.76 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 218.74 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 72.91 Cr.				
1.	M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 88.36 Cr)	257.82	147.45				
<b>/</b> 3.	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 159.56 Cr)	254.60	333.10				
6.	M/s Varaha – OIA (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 209.81 Cr)	808.23	263.67				
9	M/s KKB- Shanti (JV)	NA	Yes ( Rs. 1882.64 Cr)	271.47	308.13				

		Summary of Fir	nancial Eva	luation			
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR Crores)	Average Annual Turnover (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	
1.	M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)	JV	60-40	Lead – 266.32 Other – 5.84	Lead- 167.91 Other- 77.52	<b>Y</b>	
<b>/2.</b>	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	JV	60-40	Lead – 52.38 Other – 97.47	Lead – 192.05 Other – 307.67	Y	
3.	M/s Varaha – OIA (JV)	· JV	60-40	Lead – 150.72 Other – 144.25	Lead - 372.98 Other - 261.19	Y	
4.	M/s KKB- Shanti (JV)	JV	60-40	Lead – 16.73 Other – 35.04	Lead – 104.98 Other – 264.89	Υ	

Ajays The

Aye

my my

į.	BID Capacity								
		Package-3							
		Calculated / Assessed							
Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	C (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B+C (Rs. Cr.)
	KKB	2018	1	142.62	142.62	2	309.24	0	403.86
1	Shanti	2017	1.05	273.33	287.00	2	854.27	0	580.71
		Total							984.57
						West and the second			
2	VKS	2015	1.15	176.54	203.02	2.00	252.20	0	762.91
2	NIPL	2014	1.20	108.37	130.04	2.00	43.32	0	606.90
		Total							1369.81
3	SIPL	2018	1.00	306.12	306.12	2.00	229.67	0	1300.94
3	RMN	2016	1.10	345.57	380.13	2.00	488.35	0	1412.29
		Total						2713.22	
4	Varaha	2014	1.20	671.13	805.36	2.00	1149.01	0	2877.77
	OIA	2015	1.15	183.81	211.38	2.00	118.68	0	938.23
		Total						3816.00	

AjayA

P

Sh

M